

Third European Strategy Meeting of By2020WeRiseUp

From the 17th until the 20th of August, over 50 people from at least 15 different countries, from all kinds of groups, movements, and countries came together at the climate camp in the Rhineland to exchange about and work on how to rise up by and in 2020. Content was produced, agreements were made, experiences and contacts shared and lots of thought as well as work was put into strategy and coordination. To give an overview, results of the meeting include:

- An advisory on ending the first wave (p. 07)
- Ideas on tactics and action forms (p. 09)
- Exchanges about theories of change (p. 10)
- An agreement on an advisory document on demands (p. 10)
- An agreement on internal communication (p. 12)
- Thoughts on the off-wave (p. 13)
- Thoughts on intersectionality (p. 13)
- Thoughts on supporting one another (p. 17)
- First ideas for the second and third wave of actions (p. 18)
- Guidelines for external communication (p. 23)
- An agreement on external communication (p. 24)
- Preparations for the next meeting (p. 24)
- A mandate for By2020WeRiseUp (p. 26)
- Concrete next steps (p. 27)

Table of contents

Saturday, 17th August

<u>Visualising the first wave</u>	p. 03
<u>Bridging gaps within the first wave</u>	p. 05
Working Groups:	
<u>On ending the first wave</u>	p. 07
<u>On tactics & experiences</u>	p. 09

Sunday, 18th August

<u>Theories of Change</u>	p. 10
<u>Demands</u>	p. 10
<u>Internal communication</u>	p. 12
Working groups:	
<u>On the off-wave</u>	p. 13
<u>On intersectionality</u>	p. 13

Monday, 19th August

<u>Supporting each other</u>	p. 17
<u>Looking ahead to the second and third wave</u>	p. 18
Working groups:	
<u>On the second and third wave</u>	p. 19
<u>On external communication</u>	p. 23

Tuesday, 20th August

<u>External Communication</u>	p. 24
<u>November Conference</u>	p. 24
<u>Mandates for By2020</u>	p. 26
<u>Summary of the meeting</u>	p. 26
<u>Next steps</u>	p. 27

Saturday, 17th of August

- Introduction speech (welcoming the variety of people in the meeting)
- Why are we here?
 - urgency: time of crisis, time of change, time of possibility
 - empowerment
 - coming together
 - planning together
- Who is here?

Over 50 people from at least 15 different countries (France, Belgium, Spain, Norway, Austria, Italy, Sweden, Germany, Czech Republic...) and different groups (Ende Gelände, Zucker im Tank, FfF, XR, 350, Greenpeace, Youth for Climate, Earth Strike, local groups...) or coordination efforts.

Timeline: Visualising the first wave (~20th of September until ~18th of October)

August:

- FfF+ (100 countries, 800 cities, 950 events)
- 24th:
 - XR event in Malmö, Copenhagen (Sweden, Norway, Denmark, Hamburg etc.)
 - #unteilbar, alliance of people against AfD, Germany
- 30th: FfF demo against AfD, Dresden (maybe more cities in Saxony)
- As soon as CDU and AfD start negotiating, #WeStrike will call upon a common strike

September:

- Assistance for FfF, P4F by engineers for future on every technical question
- Aktion NRW, foundation of Zukunftsforum in Dortmund, Köln, Westfalen (combining climate and social justice)
- 30th August-1st September: LUK ELLEBAEK, Copenhagen
- 5th-8th: Folk Mot Fossilgas: big Swedish action, Gotheberg
- 6th: Concert for climate, Greenpeace
- 15th: Blockade of automotive fair in Frankfurt, Smash Capitalism
- 14th: XR in EU parliament in Brussels
- 19th-26th: Free the Soil, Hamburg
- 20th - 27th :
 - Actions every day by students groups, Belgium
 - TuMawat, Berlin, series of house squattings
 - #weekforclimate, different demonstration in cities every day, Germany
 - FfF week long strike
 - Climate action week
 - Actions targeting European investment banks, Berlin, Paris, London
 - Barris Pel Clima, local neighbourhood, Barcelona

- 20th:
 - Global strike and civil disobedience, Germany
 - FfF+ (105 countries, 805 cities, 1050 events)
 - Youth strike for climate, youth climate (FfF), Luxembourg
- 21st:
 - World cleanupday, bill the gap
 - Occupation against Eurocapacity (France)
- 22nd: Bells for future, assemblies
- 26th: Wir haben es satt, manifestation against agricultural industry
- 27th:
 - Climate strike, Switzerland and worldwide
 - Lawsuit against Czech republic for climate inaction announced
- 28th: climate march, Bern

October:

- Trade unions mobilizing for pension
- 4th-6th: deCoalize, Germany
- 4th-7th: XR week of action
- 7th: XR+2020, Madrid, Civil Disobedience
- 12th: Manifestation at EU parliament against industrial agriculture
- 16th-26th: Series of house squattings, Freiburg
- 17th: Global day for evaluation of poverty
- 19th-20th: XR Belgium, mass action Brussels
- 19th: Global day of country-side female farmers (not sure if someone is already organizing)
- 30th: International Youth Camp in Brussels (probably with action)

November:

- Forest occupation, Steigerwald, South Germany
- Drone action XR (Madrid, Paris, Berlin)
- 29th: Black Friday, Global climate strike, USA large, FfF+

Observations from plenary:

- CEE countries are massively underrepresented, FfF probably planning something
- Gaps with less people in October and November; problem that political process crucial throughout the wave; probable solution: smaller, clandestine actions with less people involved
- Proposal: connect similar actions on the same date and same time; possible in 2nd and 3rd wave
- Starting with moderate mass actions and escalation throughout the wave
- Synchronisation of actions doesn't necessarily have the most impact (more media attention, but only small time frame); maybe better to not synchronise but cover a larger time frame
- Decentralized action that require less resources are more efficient
- More important to coordinate around a story/ narrative around all different kind of struggles; diversity

Bridging gaps within the first wave

Bridging with narrative (general thoughts from the plenary):

- Two general possibilities: advertising other actions or not mentioning the gap at all
- FfF: 20th of September: begging, 27th of September: funeral oriented (failed UN summit)
- Will the gap even be noticed?
 - Narrative to be pushed: People are on the streets like never before, this is the new reality
 - Media might not need to be addressed but supporting groups do => why are we still in a wave?
- Showing solidarity (giving other actions publicity) is also deconstructing borders!
- Narrative should include that we're not expecting governments to take action (so that the reaction isn't sadness but rage after the next summit has failed)
- Why are we rising up? => explaining emergency and/or aim
 - system change? (too broad maybe), peak fossil fuels?, power to the people!
 - common thread: justice!
- Including earlier climate struggles + we have to own our historic responsibility
- How can we involve everyone, be intersectional? Elite/system as common enemy?
- Narrative sequence:
 - if you don't take sufficient action we will rise up (before 23rd)
 - you have failed us (after 23rd) => consequence? We all have to take action ourselves [gap!]
 - this is an unprecedented amount of action that is coordinated on a European scale
 - ending: we are coming back, join us

Breakout group: Brainstorming on bridging gaps with communication/narrative:

- Press work and internal communication work can be done on debriefing and advertising past actions, but also announcing the next ones
- Spreading the story of a common uprising with organized meetings by groups that took action; an idea is that groups dedicate time and capacities to organize 2 dates for such meetings to give the general public a chance to get more info on why mobilization is happening and what is happening.
- Similarly, it could be an idea to plan meeting times with other groups (not involved yet) to explain why we are doing this (examples: farmers and trade unions).
- → Attention point (!!!) : in both cases, it's needed to dedicate capacities to this! Bear in mind that lots of absorption work takes place after the actions, and that it is not only about the action in itself: it is about all the possibilities they open to get new people on board afterwards and building new alliances. Having recruiting and communication teams or at least meeting dates and places could be really important.
- Using your platform to send people to other actions: you might not be mobilizing around a certain time, but maybe another city/region/country is doing it – letting local activists know, or using your story-telling capacities (networks, media contacts etc.) can be most helpful and build solidarity!
- Guerrilla communication tactics: even if no action is happening right now, what about gluing posters on walls to tell about the ones coming up, or the coming insurrection, or what others are doing = giving people hope and the feeling that change is coming (ideas: chalk, paint, posters, stickers...). Don't hesitate to cover areas to give the overwhelming feeling that change is here!
- Communication stunt operations: holding screens in the streets to show what's happening somewhere else, for instance.

- → The two above-mentioned ideas (guerrilla communication + comms stunts) could be used for instance to draw the connection between capital cities being occupied by XR during their time of action and other locations in countries, or for bridging gaps in time.
- Collaborating with other groups (such as artists etc.) to spread the word about what (before/ after) + why + who
- Action from Decolonize on 4-6th October to make visible the whole chain around hard coal. Ideas for before and after these actions, to keep the pressure going: name and shame actions in different countries on coal actors, showing where they are (handing out flyers, visibility actions etc.)
- Mobilization and training work
- Generally speaking: the work is not done after an action; keep going, under a different form. Plan with the fact that it won't have been enough.

Bridging the gap with media work:

- If there is a down-phase, you can still feed your press contacts with info and contacts, and do your own media work to keep the feeling and pressure of a wave going (+ solidarity!!)
- Solidarity communication and press work: bridging different struggles
- Have contact points per country/ groups
- Debriefing work with media on previous actions and telling the story of an uprising
- Announcing actions to the media, building the hype

Bridging with actions:

- Types of Gaps:
 - Geographical gaps (especially Eastern Europe)
 - Chronological gap (less actions planned in October/November than in September)
 - Thematic gaps (how many actions do we have of each kind?)
 - Gaps in terms of intersectionality of participation (so far, mostly young people/"activists" involved)
- Accessibility/Intersectionality:
 - Time: e.g. having actions in the early morning (7am) where people can take part before work
 - Balancing/Using latent capacities: e.g. getting small/ clandestine groups that usually do few actions due to their single-topic focus to commit to taking up more responsibility/workload
- Action proposals for individuals and traveling persons:
 - People's kitchens
 - Hunger strike
 - Outreach to groups for waves
 - Creative disruption
 - Street art, graffiti, chalking, banners in strategic spaces
 - Political music in public spaces
 - Occupying entrances to buildings / lock-ons (safer for foreigners?)
 - Adbusting
 - Document actions!
 - Idea: create a sign-up list for people to connect for one or two people actions.
Reply: By2020 can help with communicating single-person actions
- Specific events which need more capacity, e.g.:

- Student demo in Belgrade, Sept 20, needs more people
- Denmark, C40 summit 9th to 13th of October
- Bialowieza forest (Poland) occupation ongoing right now, needs more people
- Creating a list of actions which need more support?
- Creating a list of people who are willing to physically travel to other countries?
- Brainstroming about gaps in intersecionality
 - Find out priorities of other struggles to connect -> they're different
 - Find common concerns
 - Fill in gaps in the climate agenda with their agenda
 - Different countries -> different relations between sections
 - Get involved with team sports / be part of other groups
 - Have an intersectional camp
 - The idea that climate change is connected to other struggles is quite new -> broaden use of language from climate justice
 - Other sectors touch different layers of society, e.g. Conservative or rural
 - Work around subjects that touch everybody, e.g. Food equality
 - Don't try to convince people of our struggle but help them with our resources, understand ourselves as a platform which has a lot of media attention for struggles that remain invisible
 - Takeaway 1: different struggles could be categorized as human rights, e.g. POC, women, water, democracy, indigenous etc.
 - Move from asking and begging to demanding and implementing
 - Takeaway 2: instead of asking for support from other struggles, start supporting them, use our own power and media connections to support them concretely, add our capacity to other struggles (including struggles or for eg. Farmers who are on the side of the climate movement, change names of climate camps into justice camps, etc.)

Working Groups

On ending the first wave:

- First thoughts from the plenary:
 - Maybe we do not have to announce ending - just end and announce a second wave with specific actions
 - Don't make it too official
 - Have a strong climax that can be seen as a strong end, say we are coming back
 - Between having an ending point and having none – write a test press release that everybody could use, then agree to release them around the 18 Oct
 - Endings is a media narrative, what we are saying about the wave to the public and media, not really what we are doing
 - We shouldn't be announcing the end in advance!
- Recap:
 - Ending agreed upon: 17th/18th October; no one could go longer than 4-5 weeks (Vienna) + EU summit as opportunity
 - Idea: Narrative/storytelling about ending; symbols or messages, why the action wave ends;

clear announcement: we will come back

- EU-level meetings in regards to climate:
 - EU summit: probably climate will not be on the agenda, just Brexit
 - Climate meeting during Finish presidency
 - National Climate and Energy Plans: not sure when meetings for that are going to take place
 - We have to find a common form of ending, not knowing now when exactly which meetings will take place.
- Give people/local groups the opportunity to continue their actions (as part of the framework); be vague about the ending of the wave
- What are we demanding? European-wide demands are unrealistic; there are different approaches
 - Grassroots: demanding action and listening to experts
 - NGOs: providing plans what to do, present clear demands and even plans
- Alternative to ending with people's assemblies: Invite people to celebrate climate action. Idea: local assemblies (also involve local FfF as the 18th is a Friday anyway?)
- Shaming
 - Using public shaming actions for addressing politicians or powerholders in general is easily adaptable
 - Greenpeace is regularly using shaming as a tactic. Question is if we want to do this in a stronger way?
 - What do we mean by shaming? XY has failed on tackling the climate crisis.
 - There's no point in shaming institutions, because they don't care.
- Agreements:
 - Key narrative: We are only starting out, we will be coming back. Join us.
 - Doing/telling the narrative of the ending more for ourselves than for the media.
 - People/groups should be openly invited to gatherings/assemblies/celebrations to join us
 - Call decentralised local celebrations/gatherings/assemblies that can be combined in some way with the EU summit and actions around the summit.
- Further thoughts from the plenary:
 - Celebrations/meet and greet actions are a possibility to reach out to other groups and connect with other movements.
 - Collaborating with Fridays for Future and similar: Connect specifically with local groups.
 - Naming national politicians going to or coming back from the EU summit (17th/18th of October) which will probably only deal with Brexit and not at all with the climate crisis
 - Possibly, there will be a cooperative action in mid October to end the first wave. Details will be shared internally.

On tactics & experiences:

- Media catches on to mass actions, but they take a lot of resources, could we brainstorm mass actions that do not require massive logistics
 - Response: not only mass action, but small group actions, not only media attention but economic damage
 - Response: highlighting small group actions of the recent past: coal power station blockades, cruise ship capture etc. have been very effective
- We should be making commercials: educate people about climate action and circulate it widely
 - Short film „seven“ might be relevant
 - Do we want a strategy geared around traditional media? FFF and XR have been successful with social media, how can we capture traditional media?
 - Traditional media are often paid by tax money and have moral responsibility to report climate science as science, and they're not fulfilling this responsibility, that could be an angle to take into account for specific actions against media
 - Liaisons to art students etc. could pull in new talent, liaisons to press could manipulate the public discourse positively
 - Media reports seem less violent if they have nice images for their reports -> have artistic parts of actions
- Action ideas
 - sit-ins, die-ins, rave-ins, teach-ins, etc...
 - Strikes -> hunger strikes don't need many people, hard for authority figures to repress, white european people won't face repression; student strikes; expert strikes
 - Climbing blockades don't take many people and are easily framed as peaceful resistance, get nice pictures
 - Glue lock-ons are easy and effective
 - Bicycle blockades take very few people (e.g. critical mass or blocking parking spaces)
 - Cement can be used to blockade doors -> takes about 5hr to unblock doors
 - Going forward and backward on the „zebrastreifen“ blocks traffic effectively
 - Disrupt political non-climate debate with elephant masks („elephant in the room“) and agitate for climate discussion
 - Write letters in the name of authorities saying things like because of climate catastrophe you can't ride cars anymore or such
 - Throwing leaflets at universities and malls (Too much paper? Use tagging instead?)
 - Work slowly as sabotage
 - Pumping water into parliament to visualize rising sea levels
 - In general: hacking!
 - Sabotage is not very inclusive, brainstorm around low-level activism for elderly people or children
 - For longer-term actions: create fallback structures in your own neighborhoods
 - Combine low-level actions or gatherings with radical actions: low-level gathering protects more radical activists
- Beautiful trouble: books about action forms of the last decades, also available online! Also beautiful rising for more low-level actions

Sunday, 18th of August

Theories of Change (breakout groups)

Idea: Back-casting; COP 26 is the place where unavoidable change is implemented, not where possibilities are discussed - How do we get to the best-case scenario? What would we need?

Group 1:

- Goal: reaching a critical mass, a lot of people who are change agents become politicians
- creating policies where the minors would get renewable energy jobs
- creating educational platforms
 - exchange between movements

Group 2:

- Creating conditions for politicians to have no choice but to act
- First wave: awareness raising; identify some cities per country to do guerrilla communication
- Do intersectional work between first and second wave; do material changes on the ground like free public transport; do incremental change at the same time as working on system change
- Question about far right: to try to address the problem, don't make it about party politics, but concentrate on work on building up the movement/ people power and connecting struggles
- We need to create polarisation between people and power, e.g. Finance wave: „This is how your money is used“
- By the third or fourth wave we could have created sufficient popular anger so the politicians have to face the public
- We have to continue working on a grand narrative to bring together different groups

Other voices:

- We should talk to unions and bring them on board, but also talk to the working class itself
- Thinking more about structures; making existing structures more visible, e.g. making squatting more under the label of solidarity than now
- Making climate camps permanent spaces; could be used for migrants, asylum seekers
- Stop militarisation, disarm military, stop building weapons
- Constant pressure on corporations
- One narrative: have less wage work and more time for other work (4in1 theory, Frigga Haug)

Demands

- There will be no By2020-demands, but a working group has drafted an advisory document on what to consider on the topic of demands. The demand is structured as follows:
- Research and inspiration: sketching scenario 1 of the IPCC (= staying below 1.5°C global warming) and listing examples for demands
- Red lines and recommendations: what to consider in putting demands
- Notes on radicality: how demands can serve to achieve change

- Notes from the plenary:
- Don't mention numbers - IPCC too conservative and leaving out important aspects like tipping points, but still can be referred to, since it's accepted by broad public but necessary to raise more urgency by mentioning the risk that we'll still reach 1.5°
- Demands need to be formulated on local level
- Demands need to be vague but radical
- Internal group process in order to formulate demands: consider barriers and be more inviting
- Include to all documents that we're all learning by doing and we're new to this and it's not necessary to have a perfectly thought through and impeccable set of demands
- Don't have a fixed set of demands that puts you into weaker negotiating position but adaptable demands
- Don't have „leaders“ but different demands spokespersons for press (don't need to be experts)
- Vision to the people and not only the government
- Example from Switzerland: three demands and an additional one
 - We demand that Switzerland declares the national climate emergency. „Switzerland recognizes the climate catastrophe as a crisis to deal with. Therefore, it has to react and competently inform society about it.“
 - We demand that Switzerland causes net-zero greenhouse gas emissions domestically by 2030 without compensation technologies. Between 2020 and 2024, net greenhouse gas emissions have to be reduced by at least 13% annually to then sink by at least 8% annually until 2030. All proportions are relative to emissions in 2018.
 - We demand climate justice.
 - If these demands cannot be met within the current system, a system change is needed.

Working group on demands (18th of August, afternoon)

- First general thoughts:
 - Which demands with which aim by end of 2020?
 - Can our demands be counter-balancing/ fighting off whichever false solutions a capitalist system will come up with (green capitalism etc.) ?
 - We are not here to make realistic demands but radical ones, for “realism” as is being used as a term right now is not realistic in the face of what is actually necessary to face the current emergency.
 - First step is to secure demands that will force the current systems to start reducing emissions fast enough and open the cracks for a radical system change to inevitably happen later on.
 - All climate measures must have a social impact assessment so that we know who is paying.
 - Public renouncing of growth from governments.
- Outcome of the working group: Broad demands = radical realism (and temperature checks from the plenary to know to what extent the working group on demands should include the following lines of thought):
 - Put life at the center (economy for the people and not people for the economy, attention point on the use of the word „life“): *10% agreement, 90% ish*
 - Full transparency: *100% agreement*
 - An environmentally and socially sustainable model whose level of consumption and other factors are fair and sustainable: *70% agreement, 30% ish*
 - A circular economy (no extraction then): *25% agreement, 75% ish*

- Political systems based on people power : *100% agreement*
- Climate justice: *100% agreement*
- End of all types of exploitation: *100% agreement*
- Guarantee conditions for a dignified life for all and everywhere: *90% agreement*
- Relocation/decentralization of societies and economies: *80% agreement*
- Additions: mention democracy itself and make sure all forms of life are included
- Process:
 - Idea of people’s assemblies – *approval from plenary*
 - Governments should plan the transition pathways and be kept in check on them with public reporting and specific feedback on concrete points such as ecological footprint to expose the lack of results of actions (NB: of course, their transition pathways won’t be enough at first) (as ideas: monthly reporting exercises to the public and scientists/ using reserved time slots on public media to broadcast the Q&A sessions on the progress made + feedback on these by public and scientists) - *too specific, plenary felt it would be complicated to start going that much into process for now.*
- How are we proceeding with demands?
 - Stick with an elaborate advisory for now – *approval from plenary*
 - Keep on collecting links to build a database – *approval from plenary*
 - Make sure we keep the level of radicality high enough to inspire other sets of demands and set a high standard - *approval from plenary*

Internal communication

- Example for internal communication from Belgium: **Discord**. Used by youth; with links and contact lists - info more easily accessible; good for intersectionality; good for direct communication between groups
 - there are already European groups that can be accessed by links; if people want to use it, mail addresses will be collected
 - vision of European and beyond database
 - possible alternative: Riot?
- **Liveblog**: to create greater media attention and visualizing and contextualizing different actions around Europe
- **Livefeed Tint**: creating Hashtags and Tint makes it appear on website automatically; also for media
 - not for sensitive info, but creating visibility and the image of a wave
- **Database building system from FfF**: overview of actions; what’s happening elsewhere; connected to contact lists as well
- **Neutral 2020 twitter account**: to retweet what’s happening
- We need contact points per country who give feedback to European level during waves
- Work needs to be dedicated to communication and cooperation (internal 2020 team existing but little capacities); need something up and working by the end of August/beginning September
- To minimize the risk of a loss of info due to shutdown of platforms: having info stored elsewhere, too; other platform ideas:
 - Wechange, Metamost, Riot

- Security is not for now the most important point in regards to communicating about actions that have already happened, but rather accesibility and that the platform works; most tools are open source
- Tools are just a proposal
- Temperature checks in the plenary:
 - Map of actions (*100% agreement*)
 - Liveblog on website (*90% agreement*)
 - Livefeed on website by using Hashtags (*80% agreement*)
 - Tools should be open source (*100%*); Twitter as an exception
 - If there's no open source tool/ liveblog accessible, still use tool and communicate risks (*90% agreement*). If there's no option, we need to rediscuss (next European strategy call)
 - Trust internal team to figure something out (*80% agreement*) - risk of hierarchies
- Agreement:
 - European strategy call end of August-beginning of September
 - Please come forward with proposals
 - Trying to head for open source, most secure, user-friendly tool

Working groups

On the off-wave:

- Summary:
 - Creating data base for retreat spaces and private small communities for activists
 - Identifying structural problems
 - Everyone who has already experience with recreation, retreats etc. can join the group
 - From December on we will already again be planning
 - Network that can take care of activists
- Remarks:
 - Off-wave has also be about training and integrating more people
 - We have to integrate activism with the other parts of our lives (division between activists and non-activists would be dangerous!)
 - Be careful with data of people inviting activists to their homes

On intersectionality (18th of August):

- Objective: how can we make the movement broader, more diverse and include other fights and more people
- We have to reach out to other movements whose struggles are related
 - Advertisement in newspaper or SoMe
 - Should we get involved in movements/groups to advertise by2020 (e.g. Housing market groups, immigrant movement groups etc.?) - maybe not necessary to get actively involved in all of them to spread the word
 - Can we set up an insurance fund e.g. for people who might loose their job if they go on strike
- Should this working group be about: Include broader public generally (of people who are not involved anywhere yet) and/or other already existing movements?

- Proposal: we need to talk more about Justice in general, not only „Climate Justice“
- FFF phrasing should not only be about the „youth“, because it excludes a lot of other people such as adults
- What is intersectionality?
 - Pointing out common struggles between different movements
 - A group of people who are oppressed in different ways
 - Communities on the basis of a common understanding
- 9 forms of oppression: weight/shape, age, neurological, religion, sex, patriarchy, economic, race, migration=> look them up and find connections to climate justice => put it on the webpage with an explanation of what intersectionality is, and why we think it is the right approach
- Proposal: we should connect with the movements face to face.
 - Show support through attending events of other movements, we don't have to actively be part of the movement itself. If we show support, it is more likely that they will support us too
 - If we attend other movements' events, we should be careful that we don't „take over“ their events
- Explicitly invite other movements to our events and meetings and briefly state something like „We believe that all our struggles are connected, which is why we warmly want to invite you to our event etc“ in the invitation
- How do we include the broader public?
 - Proposal: Help people start their own groups, e.g. groups of elderly, groups of people working in the same occupation => they have broad networks and can easily establish a big group => families and friends will follow
 - Can we have a support system for new groups? If so, how?
 - If new groups sign up, ask them how they define themselves, don't rely on how media defining them
 - Embrace diversity, but don't draw harsh lines or dictate how groups have to look like
 - We need knowledge that is in other movements. Have a whole day surround a certain theme/topic to educate each other
 - Include community bases that already exist
 - How can we practically make other people join the movement or contribute to the actions
 - Have meetings with groups e.g. FFF
 - Perhaps only have regional/national meetings, because it could be difficult/too short-notice to make this happen before the first wave
 - Offer support structures: e.g. trainings, interpretation
 - Who is good at what, and what can we bring to the table? Then combine and join forces
 - Always have a low-risk/legal option for actions to be inclusive
- Should we remove „climate“ from our webpage to not alienate other movements? No
- We however want to be very clear on what justice is, what climate is, and what climate justice is
- Can we compile a list of all movements and groups so that we can contact them? Are there already resources we can use? Can we contact bigger networks who know several groups and by that multiply our outreach? => Sahar will share a list of compiled groups/networks
- If we approach intersectionality strategically, we somewhat neglect the unification and only engage with other movements to increase our numbers

- Proposal: Pay an ambassador who then tries to connect different movements through liaisons
- Preliminary summary:
 - What is the definition of the term intersectionality, should we have it on the website?
Response: Keep it in the justice and climate justice framework.
 - How to empower each other without invading each other's spaces?
 - Include the broader public, not only activists. Include people who are new to it, to actions etc.
 - Embrace diversity (e.g. : FfF is not only youth but also engineers etc.)

On Intersectionality (19th of August):

- There are only living-beings that are all oppressed by the system; creating enemy-narratives create stillstand (activists groups part of the problem since they also address people as villains); system as bad guy
- NO appropriation!!! (Def.: just take what you want, because it serves your purpose. E.g. Queers in climate justice but no mutual support)
- There are different groups of society that need to be addressed in different ways to mobilize them:
 - Active allies
 - Passive allies
 - Neutrals
 - Passive opposition
 - Active opposition
- Groups to which outreach could be done:
 - Unions => What's the role of unions in a degrowth society?
 - Journalists => useful to spread the word; also connect different groups publicly
 - Farmers => farming crucial for life; **NOT** part of the problem but also victims of the system
 - Counter oppression => need to connect struggles to climate justice
 - Wellness => burnout and anxiety part of the system and activist community (prevent burn-out- caretaking); positive approach
 - Artist collectives => useful to be in-your-face and creative approaches (artist collective)
- Realistic ways of getting people together:
 - Skillshare camps where different groups are brought together
 - Lists of unions or farmers or anti-oppression-groups that can be addressed
 - **E.g farmers:** badly affected by climate change => change of language; not draw frontline between farmers and environmental activists; need of political support
 - Current narrative is exclusive change towards livelihood-protection (connected to better quality of life); working with existing alternative farming structures; build a narrative for them and be informative; highlight should be farmers that are doing it right
 - Solidarity; invitation instead of blame-game (we need to rewrite our narrative to be inviting)
 - System is the problem; we've all been colonized by that- divide and conquer
 - Need artists to make the separation as hilarious as possible for people until they tear down barriers
 - **E.g. unions:** construction union in Australia stepped back from destroying the neighbourhood they lived in should be highlighted in narrative

- **E.g.artists:** guerilla art actions; more feel-good; attracts people; link actions to the culture of people (e.g. popular holidays); good to bring across message
- *Personal contacts* important; collective narrative; not hijack their struggles; neutral space like dinner to get to know each other; ambassadors for different groups; skillshare camps; collaborative projects; every group should make an effort to include other struggles to their narrative; need of reciprocal support
- By2020 approach:
 - Core group that continues activism
 - Add subsection broadening the message (fight for life and justice)
 - Need to live with certain contradictions; first steps need to be taken quickly
 - Don't shy away from difficult alliances
 - Difference between include/recruit everyone in the movement and building alliances
- How to create consciousness and include people that are not „psychological normative“:
 - Social norms and normative rules exclude people that are differently abled
 - „Wisdom in not being able to „function within society“ => are we reproducing the logic of the system within the movement by trying to be productive?
 - Alternate days; not working on something consistently
 - Energizers and recreational times; not make people feel stressed
 - Dilemma between urgency and sustainability: need to do it with grace and ease
 - Include it in the narrative; addressing them
 - Having awareness persons
 - Visibility within the groups; not othering people; respecting diversity of needs and taking them into account
 - Anti-oppression training => education important
 - Making spaces inclusive, asking people for their needs
 - Make meetings and actions accessible for all kinds of different needs
- Summary:
 - Looking for ambassador persons to connect with other groups/movements (intersectional)
 - Language: we often talk about people to come here → how much are we in reciprocity
 - Creating more campaign material for educating people from their specific stand point/perspective
 - Idea: Comic to show how farming (or other things) are part of the problem
 - Farmers, miners: try to build bridges to them (educational material etc.)
 - Integrate wellnes community into the movement
 - Unions: showcasing active unions actions/standpoints to other unions
 - Appropriation: if gender and race are not becoming a real thing within By2020 we would be appropriating the term as By2020
 - Intersectionality must happen locally
 - Provide help for other groups (of other movements), not making them having to support us (imposing this)
 - Skill shares with other groups, movements

Monday, 19th August

Supporting each other

How can groups support one another?

- Groups can share tools (e.g. megaphones) => have a list of which group has which tool
- If there are contact lists of activists, use them for joint mobilisation purposes: collect contact lists
- Different communication channels of groups? In Belgium, Discord has worked; internal By2020 communication should help
- Skillsharing between groups
- Coordinating different action forms in the same time frame
 - Agreeing on external communication beforehand
 - Solidarity actions / manifestations can enable more radical action forms

How can countries support one another?

- Establish workable international calls for action
 - establish contact points / people
- Establish cross-country actions
- Enabling cross-country transportation
 - Public advertisement (in the country and on the action website itself)
- Practically establishing contact(s) is an essential part of tackling many of these challenges

How can NGOs and grassroots groups support one another?

- (Voice from FfF:) we need NGOs to push back on other NGOs not to coopt the movement, FfF is the easiest to coopt → no-coopting agreement?
- Take care of organizing, make it accessible, grassroots have less resources, time, capacities, etc
- Make sure different levels of radicality can coexist – less radical groups should avoid distancing themselves from more radical parts of the movement („we are the nice ones“)
- Second people/staff to grassroots activities – just capacity, experience, not NGO representation, continuity is important – NGOs are the ones who can guarantee continuity through this type of secondments, for the movement
- (Voice from Barcelona:) We have more and more people who are interested in joining, but do not have experience in civil disobedience – who is going to organize a training, who is going to organize an action? We need resources to **provide trainers and trainings for trainers**, some NGOs that have state money can't use it for that...
 - countries where this is relevant: Spain (Spanish), Switzerland (English), local language is important → SIGN UP LIST? Attacc Germany has a trainers academy, will propose to deliver this
 - Issue: bigger German NGOs have charitable status, so it's difficult to involve them in networks ...not sure how to handle that. The groups should be self-determined, you don't have to be part of an activity if you don't feel comfortable with, but be in the network
- Also coachings are missing: for action coordination, for media work, a month long...not 3 hours
- Missing lawyers, including in training and action planning
- Long-term: NGO alliances should include/ be in touch with the new grassroots

- Time publishing of reports to the wave, mention the wave in press releases and conferences...
- After the wave we will review, NGOs could give us the space to evaluate and discuss
- Luxembourg – applies similarly, help on how movements can mobilize financial resources
- Access to expert resources, libraries of NGOs – basics: civil obedience, media work, legal stuff, campaigning and action skills, facilitation/moderation, campaign planning, something like annotated links? Where to find it ?

Looking ahead to the second and third wave

Plenary brainstorm about the 2nd wave:

- Model action: World Economic Failure, targeting the World Economic Forum, 19th - 21st January
 - Call-out can and should be signed by as many groups as possible
 - Davos is the place where capitalists organize to meet politicians, Swiss representative says “we feel it is the right place to bring our environmental criticism to“
 - Concrete planning:
 - Saturday, 18th January: action conference in Bern, Tour de Lorraine
 - 19th January, manifestation from Landquart to Schiers
 - 20th January, hiking from Schiers to Klosters
 - 21st of January: hiking from Klosters to Davos
 - Feedback:
 - Complementary targets around Davos! A manifestation isn't disruptive enough
 - The WEF will probably try to push a green-capitalism-narrative, we have to anticipate this and make it impossible
- Further thoughts:
 - Targeting the finance sector can be a way out of the climate bubble; possibilities to link up with unions, groups which are critical of globalisation, etc.
 - Transforming the system is a more accessible narrative than smashing capitalism
 - Don't limit ourselves to blaming the finance industry, name politicians responsibility as well
 - At the beginning of January, people will probably be occupied with holidays
 - Narrative: “Is the problem capitalism?”
 - Do we only want to target certain places? Financial centers?

Plenary brainstorm about the 3rd wave:

- Model action: Code Rood blocking Shell's AGM
 - Cancelling AGMs is really hard, going for actual economic impact might make more sense to freak out shareholders
- Idea is to disrupt the economy, places of real economic function. When the third wave happens we should have built a narrative, and also of experience from the second wave, that makes the actions in the third wave necessary and justified in their radicality, also for the broader public
- We have to look for weak points, choke points
 - Blocking oil infrastructure would lead to huge disruption in short time
 - Ports
 - Use the insecurity of the (global) market!

- Mobilisation would be hard for targeting infrastructure
 - Duality of accessible actions (legitimacy + mass mobilisation) and direct action (impact)
 - By the time of the 3rd wave we should have more opportunity for radical actions

Working groups

On the second and third wave

Brainstorming for skeletons:

- Second wave:
 - Overall theme: finance
 - Dates:
 - Before the second wave: symbolical and visual actions on New Year's Eve (bells, visual actions, etc.) to announce the second wave and the coming year as a new year for climate and actions, and change. Make it festive, fun, visual, determined.
 - Timeframe of the second wave: mid-January to mid-February
 - Preliminary structuring dates/ideas:
 - 19-20th January, action against the World Economic Forum by Swiss groups
 - Targeting the EIB if it didn't abide by its promise to divest?
 - Combining with NGOs' plans around finance for that year?
 - Ending: Have a big bang at the end, similar to the idea for the 1st wave? This remains an open question. Groups are encouraged to look for ideas and get back to everyone with suggestions during European strategy calls or at the November strategy conference.
- Third wave
 - Overall thematic (wording to be refined) : the economy
 - Timeframe: From beginning of April to beginning of June
 - Preliminary structuring dates/ideas:
 - Shell must fall action in the Netherlands (21st of May)
 - 15th May – Swiss strike (unions + Fridays for Future + farmers)
 - Season of the big companies' Annual General Meetings: April-May
 - 22nd April, 50th birthday of Earth day
 - Fridays for Future Spring international mobilization: 22nd - 23rd - 24th April
 - 1st May: always a good date
 - April's fool day: could be a good date to start the 3rd wave
 - Ending: Have a big bang at the end, similar to the idea for the 1st wave? This remains an open question. Groups are encouraged to look for ideas and get back to everyone with suggestions during European strategy calls or at the November strategy conference.

Second Wave (in depth):

- Brainstorming about strategy
 - Types of targets?
 - Individual politicians for the World Economic Forum
 - Greenwashing slogans: deconstructing them with facts (you're saying x but the reality of

- your country's carbon footprint is y)
- Lobby organizations
 - Stock exchanges (buildings)
 - Banks, financial centers and ministries of the economy and/or finance
 - Target your favorite enemy (e.g. a company)
 - Connecting struggles in countries where extraction is taking place and Europe where finance headquarters are located: scaring them on both levels
 - Neighborhoods and access roads around main targets (banks, financial centers, stock exchange...)
 - Linking up with current divestment campaigns
 - /!\ if you go for political targets, don't lose the finance aspect of the wave
- Number of targets?
 - Don't impose something on people's campaigns
 - Could imagine targeting a small number of targets to be able to hold them for longer with relay actions between groups? Yes, if important decisions are being made there for instance, or if the target makes real sense (see below)
 - Ministries and stock exchanges + their geographical areas could make sense to disrupt long-term (real, observable impact, and is more empowering)
 - Don't go for a too specific number of targets, the second wave will already be more structured than the 1st one
 - Identifying one financial center is a problem for people who can't travel; so maybe identify several cities per country, or do actions at home.
 - Always check numbers and types of targets against the criteria of whether it is helping for movement-building or system disruption!
 - Preliminary ideas for a narrative for the 2nd wave?
 - There is a crisis because the current system is causing it – there are reasons behind the problem.
 - The house is burning, they put it on fire and they are blocking the fire brigades
 - Objectives ?
 - Scare the system
 - Make the economy responsible for climate change and not acting on it
 - Highlight that politicians don't act on lobbyism
 - Get people angry and more prone to support the 3rd wave
 - Cause losses
 - Show that public money is used for destruction through finance
 - Build bridges between struggles
 - Make this wave an explanatory one and a way to prepare the 3rd wave
 - Make the financial market and flows visual and helping to fight the GND risk
 - Make them lose credibility and expose lobbyism as a way to get people angry
 - To the recurring question of “what are your solutions?”, let's put blame on the system and make it clear that it's up to those in power to fix this mess
 - Say it loud and clear that we don't have to define the next world to be justified in our struggles: our task is to expose how wrong this system is. There is also a lot of solutions

out there.

- Law is forcing banks to make profits; possible target?

Third wave (in depth):

- Theme: economy
- Brainstorming about strategy
 - Types of targets?
 - Supply chains of goods
 - Don't target buildings anymore
 - Harbors
 - City centers
 - Highways
 - Shipping routes (e.g. rivers)
 - Import-export routes
 - (Freight) airports
 - Taking servers down from Amazon for instance
 - Clouds and hosting services
 - Power
 - People-friendly actions: free supermarkets etc. (in parallel, free farmers' markets)
 - Food warehouses for major supermarkets
 - Bridges
 - Fossil fuels infrastructures
 - Water installations
 - Garbage disposal
 - Shopping streets
 - Communication systems
 - TV stations (take over)
 - Number of targets?
 - Getting proposals from groups on targets because there are probably some good key nodal points – call on groups to do some research and share knowledge during the November conference.
 - Narrative? “We have to end this economic system and we will”
 - Objectives?
 - Having made it normal/ legitimate by then that we're taking such radical action, building on the 2nd wave
 - Cause actual damage to the system
 - Disrupt the economy so much that the only politically viable option is to take the necessary radical steps to ensure a fair and livable future to all
 - Having general or economic strike(s) and having gotten trade unions on board
 - Materialize solidarity strikes from workers with Fridays for Future (adults have been already asked to strike in solidarity)
 - Doing its bit to ensure that by the end of 2020 the stage is firmly set for the necessary

change to be implemented (and not that we start moving in the right direction at the end of 2020)

- Economic strikes (per sector), focusing on the most disruptive ones and/or the ones that are most ready
- Disrupting the economy and therefore those who make the economy work = normal people
- Proving, and using, people power

Further thoughts/ action points:

- Specific info about finance and good targets could be provided by groups with experience in the field
- Relevant dates/ summits/ meetings could be added as a separate section on the 2020 map to help plan and visualize
- Getting in touch with financial analysts could prove useful to plan the 2nd wave
- First suggestion on a theme for the 4th wave: alternatives and implementing the future we need and demand?
- Sword of Damocles: if you don't deliver in COP26, then we won't pay our taxes in January. Civil disobedience action.

Feedback and suggestions from the plenary after presentation:

- Should we plan on giving hints towards solutions?
- Think more personal? Targeting a politician instead of an institution?
- Think about Easter – in some countries (e.g. Spain), everything will shut down around that time: include this in the planning of the 3rd wave
- Annual General Meetings season from April to end of June, so plan depending on which target is yours and keep in mind that AGMs are often announced on very short notice, and therefore planning only around them might be tricky
- Importance to have a valid vision to bring people on board
- Doubt about narrative: If we want to encourage people from other parts of society we maybe cannot only say „our house is on fire“; we have to explain what's the problem
- Alternatives/solutions: We need to offer a valid revolutionary perspective, show that we want to live differently
 - There are a lot of alternatives out there, we could refer to them; suggestion to have the 4th wave focused on alternatives/solutions (already hinting at that in the 3rd wave for instance: „send“ farmers directly to sell their products in the cities)
 - We should focus on looking at local solutions
- COP25: encourage solidarity actions (build a strategy around this?) with the Global South; send a call around? Coordinate around this?
 - Fridays for Future mass action in December: plan solidarity and amplifying actions
 - January is summer in Global South: build on that
 - Women's strike to announce third wave?

On external communication, 18th October

- What was discussed/accorded upon already within By2020:
 - Call out (Basis for external comm and reached consensus in Basel)
 - Narrative for the first wave (what was agreed upon in Vienna and consensus)
 - First draft for core messaging (to be shared with groups and used/adapted)
- New key messages agreed upon:
 - We are rising up across countries and movements all over Europe
 - The climate crisis has already begun and it's going to get worse if we don't act now. [possibility to name other crises]
 - Nobody is coming to save us, we all need to rise up.
 - We are rising up against a deadly and exploitative system where profits/money are/is worth more than life. [possibility for naming responsible entities]
 - We are rising up for life and justice [justice to be specified, intersectionality]
 - We are fighting for a future that leaves no one behind [just transition, intersectionality]

On external communication, 19th October

- Strategic messaging: What is the aim of our external communication?
- Explain the wave, make the wave visible (during the wave)
 - Target audience: General public, climate action groups, other movements
- Mobilisation and gaining support (before, after the wave)
 - Target audience: climate action groups, other movements, supporters in general public
- Explain why we are doing this and legitimisation (before, during the wave)
 - Target audience: neutral part of general public
- Making our demands heard (before, during, after the wave)
 - Target audience: politicians, powerholders, neutral part of general public
- Seem powerful to make alliances easier (during, after the wave)
 - Target audience: non-climate activist groups, other movements
- Narrative sequence (1st wave)
 - Before first wave, until 23rd: Declaration of intent, Time is up, we'll rise up, building buzz, use momentum to create legitimacy
 - During the first wave, from the 23rd on: We all have to take action. This is an European uprising.
 - At the end/after the first wave: We're coming up/ we will come back; join us
- Group releases: provide framing, phrasing as an offering for groups within By2020
 - Providing information about what they will get as soon as possible;
 - sent about one week before the date to send out respective press releases, earlier if possible
 - Remark: Group releases should be very general, plus links to live blog for information about (big) actions, numbers, countries...
 - Exact dates:
 - Estimation of press releases: 20th September, 27th September, 8th October, 18th October
 - Group releases: 13th September (announce start of the wave), 29th September (in-between update, what happened in the first week), 10th October (communicate the end of the wave)

Tuesday, 20th of August

External Communication

- Presenting the results of the working group:
 - Updated core messaging
 - Strategic messaging
 - Framework for group releases
- Temperature check: Is this a good working basis? *100% agreement*
- Core messaging and group releases will be finalised, additionally, general guidelines for press-work will hopefully be provided (if supporting groups have guidelines, please do share them!)
- People need to report their actions back to the European level/coordination! That's why we have contact points in countries
- We have to identify hashtags, first collection of ideas:

◦ #By2020	#WeRiseUp	#RiseUp
◦ #JoinTheWave	#RiseUpEurope	#YoullNeverBlockAlone
◦ #ComeTogether	#Escalate	#PlanetA
◦ #OneEarth	#ClimateUprising	#RiseForClimate
◦ #RiseForClimateJustice	#ClimateJusticeNow	#RiseTogether
◦ #FromNowOnTogether	#ActNow	#Transformation
◦ #WeActNow	#WeAreTheWave	#WeAreTheHope

 - Ideas:
 - Use Hashtags from „the other side“
 - Use a tool to collect all the posts, tweets etc. using one specific hashtag
 - Use specific hashtag for waves
 - Use discursive hashtags between the waves (values, demands)
 - Preliminary work from the By2020 internal team to identify best options, then they'll be presented to a European strategy call and voted on (end of August/beginning of September)

Next physical meeting: November Conference

Brainstorming in the plenary:

- Meeting up after the first wave
- Suggestions: 6-8 november, not to crash with other things; Bratislava or Munchen (CJA in Bratislava) – weekend would enable more people to join, so probably need to plan with that
- Proposal and points about the conference
 - A university/activist academy type thing?
 - Add MANY movements from Europe: We need to tell groups to spread the info about the conference to other groups!
 - Working together on debriefing the first wave.
 - Strategic thinking for 2020 in general
- Thoughts from the plenary:

- Ideally FfF would be involved, move the dates so that they can join?
- Positive thing about having it in Bratislava: a clear sign to eastern Europe that they are very welcome and part of the movement +
- From Spanish perspective, Long travel time. Could they/we or by2020 synchronize the long travel time with other gatherings happening at the same time to make traveling worth it?
- Include local groups and scientists from Bratislava if the meeting is three
- As long as travel costs are covered, people would probably show up for the conference
- Final day: One day (or two?) of work covered with a video conference (to communicate with national remainers, and movements/people and action groups in other countries/ continents)

Small working group:

- What do we want out of the November conference? Debriefing, coordination, strategy
 - Other points:
 - Planning the next two waves
 - Beyond the 3rd wave
 - Intersectionality: developing the dynamics in CEE countries if the meeting takes place in a CEE country + grow the movement bigger (beyond activists)
 - Theory of change for planning and defining targets; thinking strategically about which targets make sense
- When and where
 - When ?
 - Proposal: separating the debriefing and looking forward (coordination and strategy) parts of the conference.
 - That means planning 2 weekends : 1st debriefing / 2nd planning and looking forward + intersectionality. Maybe planning something during the week in between (training, additional side meetings?)
 - Where ?
 - First weekend in Munich; second weekend either in Munich/ Vienna or Bratislava.
 - Practical suggestion: Online conference option for youth and people who can't travel (only to be organized if necessary !!! The primary goal is to have everyone there physically, given that doing some real work online is hardly possible)
 - There will be one day (or more, to be defined) of debriefing and planning with other continents.
- Format
 - Have spaces for groups to do in-depth work (by thematic, by countries, by groups?...) and then feeding back to plenaries
 - For people who can't attend physically:
 - Call for papers
 - Conference call options
- Interpretation to be made available for language to not be a barrier
- Ideally in a center or university with enough rooms available to make parallel sessions a possibility, as well as general plenaries
- General advice: If you can only make it for one weekend, just come for 2nd one! There will be other ways of giving feedback on the 1st wave (call for papers + online call options)

Mandates for By2020 until next European meeting

- Agreement from the plenary on the three mandates:
- Working on demands (as in drafting an advisory)
- Planning the November conference
- Continuing work on external and internal communications

Summary of the meeting

- Content produced:
 - Guidelines
 - Guide materials
 - Communication materials
 - Strategical thinking (not action only-based anymore) and planning
- Agreements:
 - 1st wave elements (for example Brussels, visualization etc.)
 - Vision of change (strategy + moving ahead for following waves)
 - We're doing this!
- Experience-sharing, network building
- Coordination elements:
 - Tools (worked on them and next steps on selection and implementation by the beginning of September)
 - Contacts were shared, collected, planned
 - Stressed importance of coordination

Feedback on meeting

- **Good:**
very focused, very productive in a concrete way, good mood, good human dynamics, good cooperation between very different groups, we're believing in it and going for it, never got stuck in loops and fighting factions, amazing facilitation and agenda planning, amazing to have so many people from so many countries, thanks for the platform work, place for different ideologies to work together and in a free way, finally getting concrete to explain what is happening and going to happen
- **Point out/ insight:**
worry about number of people internally and in the movements necessary to make it happen
mind-broadening setting
need more hype, realizing and getting excited about everything we are doing!
the broader the core messages, the better
- **Not so great:**
still lack of intersectionality
tents not entirely water-proof
hierarchy of information inside the internal team
having new people joining in the middle of the meeting
mistake in registration form

how to share info with people joining the meeting in the middle

- **Bringing back home:**
 - power
 - ideas
 - plans
 - mobilization moments
 - empowerment
 - contacts
 - framework for own (local, regional, national, group-based...) planning
 - directions for work
 - hope
 - work
- **what was cut short:**
 - never enough time to go in depth in all topics
 - socialization
 - perspective beyond 2020
 - more getting to know each other, as some people joined later during the meeting
 - would have been great to have emo rounds and affinity groups

Farewell

- thank you for amazing meeting, new and old people, for helping us making the process move forward, for all the brain work, thank you for time, work and energy, for all that everyone can take home and continue to work on, thank you for the fact that this is happening, for the hope built in these four days and past months, thank you for collective intelligence: “amazeball people and meeting”!!

Next steps

- To be able to make the first wave a success, it is critical for the platform to get **contacts per country**, people who will be in contact with the platform on a European level and be in charge of feeding information back to the common communication channels so that we can see that the wave is happening, that people are indeed rising up, and also so that we can have a good enough overview on what happened throughout the autumn to be able to work on the next waves during the November conference. If you feel like you can be a contact point for your country (or region, or group), please **write to us!** by2020we@riseup.net
- **Next calls:** depending on what makes sense for you, please make sure you attend the upcoming national calls, regional calls or European strategy call. Reach out to us if you want more info on this (specifying your region/ country and what you want to do)
- **November conference:** please book the first two weekends of November if you can (or only the second one if you can't book both)
- Please do some education work and **spread the word** about this coming uprising that we are building all together – you'll find a lot of materials on the [website](#) such as the [Beginner's guide](#), the [call-out](#) or the [narrative for the first wave](#), but also [mobilization materials](#). If you're unsure what to use for which purpose, don't hesitate to drop us a line! by2020we@riseup.net
- By2020WeRiseUp is a platform animated only by independent activists – and, as in many groups, we would really **need help** to fulfill our role of platform and keep on making this space for coordination and strategy a reality. Many working groups exist; don't hesitate to reach out, we'll find the perfect match for you!

And, of course...

- **Join the first wave!** On how to do that, you can : have a look at the minutes from this meeting, at the [minutes from previous meetings](#), at the [Call-out](#), at the [Beginner's guide](#) or at the [Narrative for the first wave](#). To make a long story short: plan actions and coordinate with other groups during the time frame of the first wave or, if you can't, plan solidarity actions, training, media work. Generally speaking, make sure that whatever you or others do is fed back to the platform so that we can all see how much is happening, feel empowered, learn, build bridges, compile informaton for the November conference and set the stage for drastic escalation in 2020.

#By2020WeRiseUp